Case 1:07-cv-05967-CM Document 6 Filed 0 DOCUMENT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

James Bonomo,

Plaintiff(s),

O7 Civ. 5967 (CM) (KNF)

-against
Mitsubishi International Corporation,

Defendant(s).

ORDER RE SCHEDULING AND INITIAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

McMAHON, District Judge.

This action having been assigned to me for all purposes, it is hereby,

ORDERED as follows:

- 1. Counsel receiving this order shall promptly mail copies hereof to all other counsel of record or, in the case of parties for which no appearance has been made, to such parties.
- Counsel for all parties are directed to confer regarding an agreed scheduling order. If counsel are able to agree on a schedule and the agreed schedule calls for filing of the pretrial order not more than six (6) months from the date of this order, counsel shall sign and mail or fax within forty-five (45) days from the date hereof a consent order in the form annexed for consideration by the Court. The trial ready date shall be left blank. If such a consent order is not filed within the time provided, a conference will be held on 9/7/2007 in courtroom 21B, 500 Pearl Street, New York, New York 10007 at 11:30 a.m.
- 3. Any party desiring a conference with the Court for purposes of discussing settlement, narrowing of issues, or other pertinent pretrial matters may request a conference by letter.
- 4. If a motion is filed prior to the conference date, the parties must attend the conference, even if counsel consent to a scheduling order. Upon request, the court will adjourn the conference until the motion is fully briefed.

5. Parties are to follow Judge McMahon's practice rules. Those rules can be found on the Court's website www.nvsd.uscourts.gov

Alle Walles

Hon. Colleen McMahon United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DI SOUTHERN DISTRI	CT OF NEW YORK	
James Bonomo,		
	Plaintiff(s).	07 Civ. 5967 (CM) (KNF)
-against-		
Mitsubishi Internation	al Corporation,	
	Defendant(s).	
		K
		GEMENT PLAN A and ERISA benefits cases, curities Litigation Reform Act)
1. This case is	is not to be tried to a jury.	
2. Discovery p	oursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(ε	a) shall be exchanged by
3. No addition	al parties may be joined after	·,
4. No pleading	may be amended after	·
Supreme Court's obse discovery is conducted immunity must comply	rvation that the issue of qual l, counsel representing any d	S.C. § 1983: In keeping with the United States ified immunity should be decided before efendant who intends to claim qualified set forth in Judge McMahon's individual
the right to move for j	-	ualified immunity rules constitutes a waiver of alified immunity prior to trial. Please identify munity grounds.
discrimination or med shall be completed by	, (For perso ical malpractice cases only): , PLE	on must be completed on or before and injury, civil rights, employment Plaintiff's deposition shall be taken first, and ASE NOTE: the phrase "all discovery, must select and disclose their experts"

identitics and opinions, as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B), well before the expiration the discovery period. Expert disclosures conforming with Rule 26 must be made no later the following dates: Plaintiff(s) expert report(s) by	han the
7. Judge McMahon's Rules governing electronic discovery apply automatically to case. The parties must comply with those rules unless they supercede it with a consent of the text of the order will be found at www.nysd.uscourts.gov .	
8. This case has been designated to the Hon. United States Magistrate	
for resolution of discovery disputes. Do not contact Judge McMahon about discovery dis- go directly to your assigned Magistrate Judge. Discovery disputes do not result in any ex- of the discovery deadline or trial-ready date, and Judge McMahon must approve any exter- the discovery deadline in non-pro-se cases. The Magistrate Judge cannot change discovery deadlines unless you agree to transfer the case to the Magistrate Judge for all purposes. McMahon does not routinely grant extensions so counsel are warned that it they wait until last minute to bring discovery disputes to the attention of the Magistrate Judge, they may I themselves precluded from taking discovery because they have run out of time.	tension ision of Ty Judge I the
9. A joint pre-trial order in the form prescribed in Judge McMahon's individual ru together with all other pre-trial submissions required by those rules (not including in limin motions), shall be submitted on or before Following submission of the pre-trial order, counsel will be notified of the date of the final pre-trial conference. In limit motions must be filed within five days of receiving notice of the final pre-trial conference responses to in limine motions are due five days after the motions are made. Cases may be for trial at any time following the final pre-trial conference.	ie joint ine ;

10. No motion for summary judgment may be served after the date the pre-trial order is

due. The filing of a motion for summary judgment does not relieve the parties of the obligation

11. The parties may at any time consent to have this case tried before the assigned

to file the pre-trial order and other pre-trial submissions on the assigned date.

Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636(c).

12. This scheduling order may be altered or amended only on a showing of good cause

that is not foresecable at the time this order is entered. Counsel should not assume that

extensions will be granted as a matter	r of routine.
Dated: New York, New York	
Upon consent of the parties: [signatures of all counsel]	
	SO ORDERED:
	Hon. Colleen McMahon United States District Judge